

1 WILL M. YAMADA, ESQ. (SBN 226669)
KATHLEEN N. MASTAGNI, ESQ. (SBN 244298)
2 **MASTAGNI, HOLDSTEDT, AMICK,**
MILLER, JOHNSEN & UHRHAMMER
3 *A Professional Corporation*
1912 I Street
4 Sacramento, California 95814
Telephone: (916) 446-4692
5 Facsimile: (916) 447-4614

6 Attorney for Plaintiff,
Sugar Workers Union Local No. 1

7
8 DANIEL NEWLAND, ESQ. (SBN 087965)
JENNIFER SVANFELDT, ESQ. (SBN 233248)
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
9 560 Mission Street, Suite 310
San Francisco, California 94105
10 Telephone: (415) 397-2823
Facsimile: (415) 397-8549

11 Attorneys for Defendant,
12 C&H Sugar Company, Inc.

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

15 SUGAR WORKERS UNION LOCAL NO. 1,)	Case No. C-07-3280-JSW
)	
16 Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
)	ORDER CONTINUING THE CASE
17 v.)	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
)	
18 C & H SUGAR COMPANY,)	
)	
19 Defendant.)	
)	

20
21 Plaintiff Sugar Workers Union Local No. 1 ("Union") and defendant C & H Sugar
22 Company ("C&H") (Union and C&H as the "Parties"), through their respective counsel,
23 respectfully submit the following stipulation and request:

24 1. The Parties request that this Court continue the initial Case Management
25 Conference presently scheduled for October 19, 2007 and continue the deadline to submit the
26 Joint Case Management Statement currently due by October 12, 2007. The parties propose the
27 Case Management Conference be continued until December 7, 2007 and the Joint Case
28 Management Statement be due by November 30, 2007. The continuance is requested because the

1 parties recently reached a tentative settlement of this case. Without any admission of liability by
 2 either side, the parties have agreed to select an arbitrator for the grievance at issue in this matter.
 3 The parties reserve the right to raise all defenses during the arbitration and will leave it to the
 4 arbitrator to determine whether bifurcation is warranted and, if so, whether he or she will hear
 5 both procedural and substantive issues. Plaintiff has informed Defendant that they will dismiss
 6 this case without prejudice once the parties have actually selected an arbitrator for the case. The
 7 parties anticipate that this will be completed within the next month.

8 2. Good cause exists to grant the continuance because it would save the Parties and
 9 the Court resources and time if the Parties can resolve this matter informally.

10 DATED: October 11, 2007

**MASTAGNI, HOLSTEDT, AMICK,
 11 MILLER, JOHNSEN & UHRHAMMER**

12 By /s/ Will M. Yamada
 13 WILL M. YAMADA
 14 Attorneys for Petitioner

15 DATED: October 11, 2007

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

16 By /s/ Jennifer P. Svanfeldt
 17 DANIEL NEWLAND
 18 Jennifer P. Svanfeldt
 19 Attorneys for Defendant C&H
 20 SUGAR COMPANY, INC.

21 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

22 The Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Continuing the Case Management Conference and
 23 submission of the Joint Case Management Report, Is Hereby Adopted by the Court for the Case
 24 and the Parties Are Ordered to Comply with this Order.

25 Dated: _____

26 HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE
 27
 28